UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FO	K THE WESTERN DISTR	ICT OF WIICHIGAN
United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	v. David Lewis Many	Case No. 1:12 C	R 188
	Defendant		
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Refelendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142	(f), I conclude that these facts require
		ndings of Fact	
(4)			ad baa mandanahi baam aamidatad af
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in a federal offense a state or local offense the existed – that is		
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense list	ed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is presc	ribed in: .*
	a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state		prior federal offenses described in 18
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv		
	the possession or use of a firearm of a failure to register under 18 U.S.C		y other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed w or local offense.	hile the defendant was on	release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction	_ defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumperson or the community. I further find that defendant		
	Alternative	e Findings (A)	•
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant		
(`')	for which a maximum prison term of ten years of		
	Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s		*
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta	blished by finding (1) that r	no condition or combination of conditions
	will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance ar	nd the safety of the commu	ınity.
,		e Findings (B)	
✓ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe		
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	er the safety of another per	son or the community.
	Part II – Statement of t	he Reasons for Detention	n

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by ✓ clear and convincing evidence ____ a preponderance of the evidence that:

defendant and counsel waived a detention hearing on the record. Detention is ordered on the basis of the Pretrial Services Report. Defendant may move for a de novo hearing on the basis of changed circumstances.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 17, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville	
		Name and Title	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge	